



Attorney Docket No.: 042390.P7954

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#13
2/12/03
mfl
RECEIVED
FEB 10 2003
GROUP 3600

In re application of:

Dedrick, et al.

Application No: 09/541,391

Filed: March 31, 2000

For: AUTOMATED VOLUME LICENSE
AGREEMENT METHOD AND
APPARATUS

Examiner: K. Abdi

Art Unit: 3621

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed December 11, 2002, please consider the following remarks. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present U.S. Patent application. Claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-22 and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. No claims have been amended, canceled or added. Therefore, claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-22 and 24 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Rejections of Claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-22 and 24 based on *Misra* in view of *Ginter* and *Pallakoff*

Claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-22 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,189,146 issued to *Misra* et al. (*Misra*) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,892,900 issued *Ginter* et al. (*Ginter*) and U.S. Patent No. 6,269,343 issued to *Pallakoff* (*Pallakoff*). For at least the reasons set forth below, Applicants submit that claims 1-6, 8-14, 16-22 and 24 are not rendered obvious by *Misra* in view of *Ginter* and *Pallakoff*.

Claim 1 recites the following: